

Exact finite-size spectrum for the multi-channel Kondo model and Kac-Moody fusion rules

Satoshi Fujimoto¹ and Norio Kawakami²

¹*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan*

²*Department of Material and Life Science, and Department of Applied Physics, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565, Japan*

The finite-size spectrum for the multi-channel Kondo model is derived analytically from the exact solution, by mapping the nontrivial Z_n part of the Kondo scattering into that for the RSOS model coupled with the impurity. The analysis is performed for the case of $n - 2S = 1$, where n is the number of channel and S is the impurity spin. The result obtained is in accordance with the Kac-Moody fusion hypothesis proposed by Affleck and Ludwig.

The multi-channel Kondo problem is one of the most interesting issues in strongly correlated electron systems [1–4]. It possesses the non-trivial fixed point characterized by a non-Fermi liquid behavior for the overscreening case. A possibility of its realization in some Uranium compounds has been discussed extensively [5,6]. Recently, Affleck and Ludwig developed a boundary conformal field theory (CFT) approach to this problem, and derived various non-trivial results for low-energy properties [7]. Their key proposal is the so-called fusion hypothesis; i.e. when absorbing the impurity spin into conduction electrons, the selection rule for spin quantum numbers is changed following the Kac-Moody fusion rules. Using this hypothesis they predicted the finite-size spectrum, which plausibly yields the $\pi/2$ phase shift for $n \leq 2S$, as well as the non-Fermi liquid spectrum for $n > 2S$, where n is the number of channel (flavor), and S is the impurity spin.

On the other hand, the Bethe-ansatz solutions to various Kondo models have been obtained [8,9], which in principle can be compared with the above prediction. This was performed for the single channel Kondo model, confirming that the Bethe-ansatz indeed gives the spectrum in accordance with the fusion hypothesis [10]. For the overscreening model [2,3], however, the correct finite-size spectrum has not been obtained analytically from the Bethe-ansatz solution. The difficulty comes from that the multi-channel model relies on the string hypothesis even for the ground state, which is valid only in the thermodynamic limit [2,3]. Hence the ordinary Bethe-ansatz approach suffers from this pathology, leaving the analytic computation of the finite-size spectrum still open.

In this paper, we propose a way to deduce the finite-size spectrum of the overscreening Kondo model analytically from the exact solution. A key idea is to convert the nontrivial Z_n part of the original Kondo scattering into that for the critical restricted solid-on-solid (RSOS) model coupled with the impurity. The finite-size spectrum is then calculated by the functional equation method based upon fusion hierarchy [11–13]. The

obtained spectrum plausibly reproduces the fusion hypothesis of Affleck and Ludwig.

We start by recapitulating the difficulty in the ordinary Bethe-ansatz approach. The multi-channel Kondo model is given by

$$H = \sum_{k,l,\sigma} \epsilon_k c_{kl\sigma}^\dagger c_{kl\sigma} + J \sum_{k,k',l,\sigma,\sigma'} c_{kl\sigma}^\dagger (\sigma_{\sigma\sigma'} \cdot \mathbf{S}) c_{k'l\sigma'} \quad (1)$$

with the antiferromagnetic coupling $J > 0$, where l is the flavor index ($l = 1, 2, \dots, n$), $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ is the spin index, and other notations are standard. This model was solved by the coordinate Bethe-ansatz method, which has described the thermodynamics exactly [2,3]. However, a naive application of finite-size techniques [14,15] based on the string hypothesis turns out to fail for the overscreening case, and gives only the gaussian part of the spectrum for the spin sector. Namely, the total spectrum leads to a pathological form,

$$E = \frac{2\pi v}{N} \left(\frac{(Q - n)^2}{4n} + \frac{S_z^2}{n} + (\text{flavor part}) + n_Q + n_s + n_f \right), \quad (2)$$

for the finite system with linear size N , where v is the Fermi velocity, and n_Q , n_s , and n_f are non-negative integers. Here the first term expresses the charge excitation specified by the quantum number Q , and the second is the spin excitation labeled by the magnetization S_z . Since the spin sector of the multi-channel Kondo model may be correctly described by the level- n $SU(2)$ Wess-Zumino-Witten model with the central charge $c_{WZW} = 3n/(2 + n)$ [7], it is seen from (2) that the Z_n parafermion sector with central charge $c = c_{WZW} - 1 = 2(n - 1)/(n + 2)$ is lacking. This type of difficulty is common to many integrable models with affine symmetry [16].

We thus resort to alternative techniques other than the coordinate Bethe ansatz to recover the correct spectrum for the lacking Z_n parafermions. Though it seems not easy to resolve this problem completely, we propose an

analytic way to investigate the nontrivial Z_n parafermion part. For this purpose, we first exploit the following properties of the S-matrix for “physical particles” [17–19] in the overscreening Kondo model. The bulk S-matrix in the spin sector is decomposed into two parts [17,19]; the S-matrix for the 6-vertex model which is equivalent to the gaussian theory, and that for the Z_n model. Since the Z_n model can be described in terms of the RSOS model [20,21], the corresponding S-matrix is given by the face weight in the RSOS model [17,22]. A remarkable point for the overscreening model is that the interaction between “physical particles” and the impurity is described by the S-matrix for multi-kinks, which is given by the fusion of the face weights of the RSOS model with the fusion level $p = n - 2S$. This has been previously noticed by Fendley [19] and Martins [23]. Therefore, it is seen that *nontrivial properties in the overscreening model is essentially determined by the RSOS model coupled with the impurity*. Based on these observations, we now consider the transfer matrix $T(u)$ for the RSOS model with the impurity,

$$T(u) = W(u|\{\sigma_{j+1}\}) \dots W(u|\{\sigma_N\}) \widetilde{W}^p(u|\{\sigma_{N+1}\}) \times W(u|\{\sigma_1\}) \dots W(u|\{\sigma_{j-1}\}), \quad (3)$$

which could reproduce the nontrivial properties of the multichannel Kondo model. Here W 's are the face weights of the RSOS model with a spectral parameter u , and $\{\sigma\}$ is the set of spins around the face. The impurity counterpart of the face weight $\widetilde{W}^p$ is given by the fusion of W [12,24,19,23,25],

$$\widetilde{W}^p(u|\{\sigma\}) = \sum_{\{\sigma'\}} \prod_{k=1}^p W\left(u + \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - k\right)\lambda \middle| \{\sigma'\}\right), \quad (4)$$

where $\lambda = \pi/(n+2)$. Note that from this equation one can indeed reproduce the Z_n sector of the thermodynamic Bethe-ansatz equation obtained for the overscreening case [2,3].

We wish to study the above RSOS model with the impurity to deduce the correct spectrum of the overscreening model, and show how the fusion hypothesis emerges in this framework. With a view to avoiding a pathology in the string hypothesis, we deal with (3) following the functional equation method [11–13]. The essence of this method is that one can seek for the solution to the eigenvalue problem by observing analyticity properties of the transfer matrix. We shall slightly generalize it to take into account the effect of the impurity. Let us first construct the fusion hierarchies of this model following the standard fusion procedure [26,25]. Introduce first the fused face weight for host electrons [25,12,24],

$$W^{1,q}(u|\{\sigma\}) = \prod_{k=0}^{q-2} s_k^{-1}(u) \sum_{\{\sigma'\}} \prod_{k=1}^q W(u + (k-1)\lambda | \{\sigma'\}), \quad (5)$$

where $s_k(u) = \frac{\sin[u+(k-j)\lambda]}{\sin \lambda}$, and the fused face weight for the impurity, which is given by eq.(5) with $s_k(u)$ replaced by $\tilde{s}_k(u) = \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} \sin(u + (k + \frac{p-1}{2} - j)\lambda) / \sin \lambda$. We then obtain the transfer matrix for the fusion hierarchies,

$$T^q(u) = W^{1,q} \dots W^{1,q} \widetilde{W}^{p,q} W^{1,q} \dots W^{1,q}. \quad (6)$$

From the generalized Yang-Baxter equations satisfied by $W^{1,q}$ and $\widetilde{W}^{p,q}$, it is easily seen that the commutativity of the transfer matrix holds, $[T^q(u), T^q(v)] = 0$, which guarantees the construction of the fusion hierarchies. The fusion hierarchies satisfy the following functional equations [24,12],

$$T_0^1 T_1^1 = f_{-1} f_1 + f_0 T_0^2 \quad (7)$$

$$T_0^q T_q^1 = f_q T_0^{q-1} + f_{q-1} T_0^{q+1}, \quad (8)$$

where $T_q \equiv T(u + q\lambda)$, and

$$f_q = \left(\frac{\sin(u + q\lambda)}{\sin \lambda} \right)^N \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{\sin(u + (q + \frac{p-1}{2} - j)\lambda)}{\sin \lambda}. \quad (9)$$

Following Klümper and Pearce [12], we recast the above functional equations into the following form,

$$t_0^q t_1^q = (1 + t_1^{q-1})(1 + t_0^{q+1}), \quad 1 \leq q \leq n-1 \quad (10)$$

with $t_0^q = T_1^{q-1} T_0^{q+1} / f_{-1} f_q$, and $t_0^0 = t_0^n = 0$. If we omit the face weight for the impurity, $\widetilde{W}^p$, the analyticity strip of $t^q(u)$ is given by [12]

$$\frac{1-q}{2(n+2)}\pi - \frac{\pi}{2} < \text{Re} u < \frac{1-q}{2(n+2)}\pi. \quad (11)$$

Note that $t^q(u)$ has poles in this strip. For a large system with linear size N , $t^q(u)$ can be described by $t^q(u) = (z^q(u))^N y^q(u)$, where $(z^q(u))^N$ expresses the host electron part and $y^q(u)$ the impurity part. From eq.(10), these functions respectively satisfy

$$\frac{z^q(u) z^q(u + \lambda)}{z^{q-1}(u + \lambda) z^{q+1}(u)} = 1, \quad (12)$$

for $1 \leq q \leq n-1$, with the condition $z^0(u) = z^n(u) = 1$, and

$$\frac{y_0^q y_1^q}{y_1^{q-1} y_0^{q+1}} = 1, \quad 1 \leq q \leq n-1, \quad (13)$$

with $y_0^0 = y_0^n = 1$.

Now our task is to find the solution to $z^q(u)$ and as well as to $y^q(u)$, which should respect the correct pole structure of $t^q(u)$ in the analyticity strip (11). The solution to eq.(12) was already found [12],

$$z^q(u) = \frac{\sin[\frac{n+2}{n}(u)]}{\sin[\frac{n+2}{n}(u+q\lambda)]}, \quad (14)$$

which indeed possesses the pole structure correctly. This immediately yields the well-known finite-size spectrum of Z_n parafermion theory [12],

$$E = \frac{2\pi v}{N} \left(\frac{j(j+1)}{n+2} - \frac{m^2}{4n} \right), \quad (15)$$

where $m = -2j, -2j+2, \dots, 2j-2, 2j$, and $j = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$. Note that only the holomorphic piece of conformal weights appears since the Kondo problem is effectively described by a chiral system [2,3].

In order to obtain the solution to the impurity part, $y^q(u)$, we have to know how the presence of the impurity changes analyticity properties of t^q . Although this problem may not be easy in general, it is found that for the special case of $p=1$, the analyticity strip (11) is slightly modified due to the impurity. Hence the unique solution to y^q can be easily obtained, which reproduces the pole structure of t^q under the condition $y_0^0 = y_0^n = 1$. We find

$$y^q(u) = \prod_{l=0}^{p-1} \frac{\sin[\frac{2(n+2)}{n}(u + (\frac{p-1}{2} - l)\lambda)]}{\sin[\frac{2(n+2)}{n}(u + (q + \frac{p-1}{2} - l)\lambda)]}. \quad (16)$$

In the following we will restrict our discussions to the case $p=1$, owing to the above technical reason.

Let us now turn to the calculation of the finite-size spectrum. To this end, it is convenient to introduce the new functions, $a^q(x) \equiv [t^q(\frac{n}{n+2}ix - (q + \frac{n}{2})\frac{\lambda}{2})]^{-1}$. Applying the method of Klümper and Pearce [12], we obtain the non-linear integral equation for a^q ,

$$\ln a^q = \ln e^q + \sum_r K_{q,r} * \ln(1 + a^r) + D^q, \quad (17)$$

where the asterisk stands for the convolution. Here D^q is the vector independent of x (see eq.(26)) and Fourier components of the matrix K are given by the solution of the equation,

$$(K_0(z)\delta_{m,l} - \delta_{m,l-1} - \delta_{m,l+1})K_{l,n} = -\delta_{m,n+1} - \delta_{m,n-1}, \quad (18)$$

with $K_0(z) = -2 \cosh(\pi z/n)$. $\ln e^q$ is determined by $t^q = (z^q(u))^N y^q(u)$, of which the bulk part is given in ref. [12]. The impurity part is given by

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \ln \left(\frac{\sinh\{x - [\frac{q}{2} - \frac{n}{4} + \frac{p-1}{2} - j]\frac{2\pi i}{n}\}}{\sinh\{x - [-\frac{q}{2} - \frac{n}{4} + \frac{p-1}{2} - j]\frac{2\pi i}{n}\}} \right), \quad (19)$$

from which one can easily obtain the scaling limit of $\ln e^q$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \ln e^q(\pm(x + \ln N)) = (\text{bulk part}) \mp \frac{2i\pi pq}{n}. \quad (20)$$

We are now ready to compute the finite-size spectrum from the finite-size corrections to the eigenvalue of the transfer matrix,

$$b^q(x) \equiv T_{\text{finite}}^q \left(\frac{n}{n+2}ix - \left(q - 1 + \frac{n}{2} \right) \frac{\lambda}{2} \right), \quad (21)$$

where T_{finite}^q is the finite-size corrections to the transfer matrix. The integral equation for $b^q(x)$ is easily found [12],

$$\ln b^q = \sum_r \hat{K}_{q,r} * \ln(1 + a^r) + C^q, \quad (22)$$

where the kernel $\hat{K}$ is given by

$$\hat{K}_{j,l} = \frac{\sin \frac{i\pi}{n} \sin \frac{l\pi}{n}}{\pi \sin \frac{\pi}{n}} e^{-|x|}, \quad (23)$$

and C^q is the vector consisting of constant elements which do not contain $1/N$ corrections. From eqs.(17) and (22), one can obtain the finite-size spectrum [12],

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N\pi E_{RSOS}}{v} &= \frac{N\pi(\ln b^1 - C^1)}{v} \\ &= \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} L\left(\frac{a_\infty^q}{A_\infty^q}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} D^q \ln A_\infty^q, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $L(x)$ is the Rogers dilogarithm. Here $a_\infty^q = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} a^q(x)$, and $A_\infty^q = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (1 + a^q(x))$, which are evaluated from the asymptotics of eq.(10) as [12],

$$a_\infty^q = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\sin q\theta \sin(q+2)\theta}, \quad A_\infty^q = \frac{\sin^2(q+1)\theta}{\sin q\theta \sin(q+2)\theta}, \quad (25)$$

where θ is a multiple of $\pi/(n+2)$. D^q is determined from the asymptotic behavior of eq.(17),

$$D^q = (\text{bulk part}) - \frac{2pq\pi i}{n}. \quad (26)$$

The second term comes from the impurity contribution, and is responsible for determining the selection rule for quantum numbers, as we will see shortly.

We thus find from eqs.(24) and (26) the correction of the finite-size spectrum due to the impurity,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_{RSOS} &= -\frac{ip}{nN} \ln \left(\frac{\sin^n n\theta}{\sin \theta \sin^{n-1}(n+1)\theta} \right) \\ &= \frac{ip}{nN} \left(i\pi m + n \ln \left(2 \cos \frac{\pi s}{n+2} \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Here $s = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$, and $m = s-1 \pmod{2}$, $|m| \leq s-1 = 2j$. The second term in the bracket can be evaluated from the braid limit [12,27],

$$\lim_{\text{Im}u \rightarrow \pm\infty} \left(\frac{\sin \lambda}{\sin(u - \lambda/2)} \right)^N T(u) = 2 \cos \frac{\pi s}{n+2}. \quad (28)$$

Since the left-hand side of eq.(28) is evaluated as $i\pi m/n$, the second term in the bracket of eq.(27) is equal to $i\pi m$. Consequently we end up with the simple formula,

$$\Delta E_{RSOS} = -\frac{2\pi}{nN}mp. \quad (29)$$

This completes the calculation of the finite-size corrections due to the impurity.

Now combining the ordinary finite-size spectrum for Z_n parafermions eq.(15) and the impurity contribution eq.(29), we obtain the desired result,

$$\frac{NE_{RSOS}}{2\pi v} = \frac{j(j+1)}{n+2} - \frac{(m+p)^2}{4n} + \text{const}, \quad (30)$$

where $m = 2j \pmod{2}$, and $j = 0, 1/2, 1, \dots, n/2$. One can see that the spectrum fits in with Z_n parafermion theory, and *only the selection rule for quantum numbers is changed via $m \rightarrow (m+p)$, after incorporating the impurity effect*. We think that this may be a microscopic description of the fusion hypothesis.

To confront our results with those of Affleck and Ludwig [7], let us look at the total finite-size spectrum, which is given by the sum of eqs.(2) and (30). One can easily see that the term $-(m+p)^2/4n$ in (30) should be canceled by S_z^2/n in (2) by suitably choosing the quantum number for S_z . This in turn modifies the quantum numbers in $SU(2)_n$ Kac-Moody algebra. As a result, the total spectrum is reduced to

$$\frac{NE}{2\pi v} = \frac{(Q-n)^2}{4n} + \frac{\tilde{j}(\tilde{j}+1)}{n+2} + (\text{flavor part}) + n_Q + n_s + n_f, \quad (31)$$

with newly introduced quantum numbers $\tilde{j} = |j - p/2|$ where $\tilde{j} = 0, 1/2, 1, \dots, n/2$. Note that Q and j are the charge and spin quantum numbers for free electrons without Kondo impurities. Consequently we can say that the sole effect of the Kondo impurity is to modify the selection rule for quantum numbers in the spin spectrum, via $j \rightarrow \tilde{j}$, which indeed leads to non-Fermi liquid properties for the overscreening Kondo effect. This is the essence of the Kac-Moody fusion hypothesis proposed by Affleck and Ludwig [7].

In this paper we have been concerned with the special case $p = (n - 2S) = 1$ in the overscreening model. As mentioned above, we have encountered some technical problems for treating the case with general p , which have not been resolved yet. Nevertheless we think that the solution to y^q for general p is given by eq.(16), and the corresponding finite-size spectrum should take the form of eq.(31). We hope to clarify these points in the future

work to establish the present conclusion for the general case.

The authors are grateful to A. W. W. Ludwig and A. Klümper for illuminating discussions. This work was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

-
- [1] P. Nozieres and A. Blandin, J. Phys. (Paris) **41**, 193 (1980).
 - [2] A. M. Tsvelick and P. B. Wiegmann, Z. Phys. **B54**, 201 (1985); J. Stat. Phys. **38**, 125 (1985).
 - [3] Andrei and Destri, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 364 (1984).
 - [4] P. Schlottmann and P. D. Sacramento, Adv. Phys. **42**, 641 (1993).
 - [5] D. L. Cox, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1240 (1987).
 - [6] M. Koga and H. Shiba, preprint.
 - [7] I. Affleck and A. W. W. Ludwig, Nucl. Phys. **B360**, 641 (1991); **B352**, 849 (1991); Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 161 (1991); Phys. Rev. **B48**, 7279 (1993); A. W. W. Ludwig and I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** (1991) 3160; Nucl. Phys. **B428**, 545 (1994).
 - [8] N. Andrei, K. Furuya and J. H. Lowenstein, Rev. Mod. Phys. **55**, 331 (1983).
 - [9] A. M. Tsvelick and P. B. Wiegmann, Adv. Phys. **32**, 453 (1983).
 - [10] S. Fujimoto, N. Kawakami, and S. -K. Yang, Phys. Rev. **B50**, 1046 (1994).
 - [11] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, Lett. Math. Phys. **7**, 205 (1983).
 - [12] A. Klümper and P. A. Pearce, Physica **A183**, 304 (1992).
 - [13] A. Kuniba, T. Nakanishi, and J. Suzuki, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A9**, 5215, 5267 (1994).
 - [14] H. J. de Vega and F. Woynarovich, Nucl. Phys. **B251**, 439 (1985).
 - [15] F. Woynarovich, J. Phys. **A22**, 4243 (1989).
 - [16] F. C. Alcaraz and M. J. Martins, J. Phys. **A22**, 1829 (1989).
 - [17] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, J. Phys. **A24**, 3299 (1991).
 - [18] A. B. Zamolodchikov and Al. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B379**, 602 (1992).
 - [19] P. Fendley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 2485 (1993).
 - [20] G. E. Andrews, R. J. Baxter, and P. J. Forrester, J. Stat. Phys. **35**, 193 (1984).
 - [21] A. B. Zamolodchikov and V. V. Fateev, Sov. Phys. JETP **62**, 215 (1985).
 - [22] A. M. Tsvelick, Nucl. Phys. **B305**, 675 (1988).
 - [23] M. J. Martins, Nucl. Phys. **B426**, 661 (1994).
 - [24] V. V. Bazhanov and N. Reshetikhin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A4**, 115 (1989).
 - [25] E. Date, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and M. Okado, Lett. Math. Phys. **12**, 209 (1986).
 - [26] P. P. Kulish, N. Yu. Reshetikhin, E. K. Sklyanin, Lett. Math. Phys. **5**, 393 (1981).
 - [27] P. A. Pearce, in Proc. RIMS91, Infinite Analysis (World Scientific).